

OFFICE OF THE ELECTION OFFICER
% INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS

25 Louisiana Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001 (202) 624-8778 1-800-828-6496 Fax (202) 624-8792

Michael H. Holland Election Officer

}

November 12, 1991

Chicago Office: % Cornfield and Feldman 343 South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60604 (312) 922-2800

VIA UPS OVERNIGHT

Don L. West c/o IBT Local Union 612 50 Bagby Drive Birmingham, AL 35219 Ron Carey c/o Richard Gilberg, Esquire Cohen, Weiss & Simon 330 West 42nd Street New York, NY 10036-6901

Re: Election Office Case No. P-1060-IBT

Gentlemen:

A protest was filed pursuant to the Rules for the IBT International Union Delegate and Officer Election, revised August 1, 1990 ("Rules") on behalf of Don L. West, a nominated candidate for IBT International Union Vice President and a member of the R. V. Durham Unity Team slate. Mr. West protests the content of the campaign material published on behalf of the Ron Carey slate-in-the November-1991-issue of The International Teamster.

The protest contends that the material printed contains false and misleading information, specifically the statements made with respect to Mr. West. The sixth page of the Carey campaign material contains a picture of Mr. West with the word "Charged" printed on it; below the picture, Mr. West's name is printed with the notation "Employer Kick-Backs." Mr. West states that he has not been charged with anything relating to employer kick-backs. While Mr. West acknowledgements that there is a charge pending against him before the Independent Administrator, he states that that charge does not concern the issue of employer kick-backs. Mr. West finally states that he has not been charged with a crime but contends that the campaign material published on behalf of the Ron Carey Slate so implies.

Assuming, as Mr. West contends, that the campaign material printed in the November 1991 issue of <u>The International Teamster</u> on behalf of the Ron Carey Slate contains false, scandalous, defamatory and misleading information, the Election Officer finds that there has been no violation of the Rules. Underlying the Rules is a firm policy against censorship or the regulation of the content of campaign literature. Article VIII, § 6(g) of the Rules specifically states that "[t]he Union may not censor, regulate, alter or inspect the contents of any candidate's campaign literature. The Union may not refuse to process or distribute any candidate's literature on the basis of its contents." This policy reflects the right of union members to engage in vigorous internal union

Don L. West November 12, 1991 Page 2

debate free from the threat of internal union discipline for their campaign statements. See e.g., Petramale v. Laborers Local 17. 736 F. 2d 13 (2nd Cir. 1984); Semanik v. UMW District 5, 80 LRRM 3475 (3rd Cir. 1972); Salzhandler v. Caputo, 316 F. 2d 445 (2nd Cir. 1963). Similarly, the United States Supreme Court has recognized that internal union affairs "... are frequently characterized by bitter and extreme charges, countercharges, unfounded rumors, vituperations, personal accusations, misrepresentations and distortions." Old Dominion Branch No. 496 v. Austin, 418 U.S. 264, 272 (1974).

Thus, and assuming that the campaign statements contained in the material printed in the November 1991 issue of <u>The International Teamster</u> were false, misleading, or even defamatory, those facts do not remove such literature from the protection of the *Rules*. The model for free and fair Union elections is that of partisan political elections. In those elections, contestants are generally allowed to make whatever assertion, allegation, statement of opinion or even of alleged fact without legal sanctions for truth or falsity. The cardinal principle is that the best remedy for untrue speech is more speech, with the electorate being the final arbiter.

The Rules were not violated by the content of the material printed on behalf of the Ron Carey Slate. Accordingly, the protest is DENIED.

If any interested party is not satisfied with this determination, they may request a hearing before the Independent Administrator within twenty-four (24) hours of their receipt of this letter. The parties are reminded that, absent extraordinary circumstances, no party may rely upon evidence that was not presented to the Office of the Election Officer in any such appeal. Requests for a hearing shall be made in writing, and shall be served on Independent Administrator Frederick B. Lacey at LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae, One Gateway Center, Newark, New Jersey 07102-5311, Facsimile (201) 622-6693. Copies of the request for hearing must be served on the parties listed above, as well as upon the Election Officer, IBT, 25 Louisiana Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001, Facsimile (202) 624-8792. A copy of the protest must accompany the request for a hearing.

Michael H. Holland

MHH/ca

cc: Frederick B. Lacey, Independent Administrator

Don L. West November 12, 1991 Page 3

> J. Scott Vowell, Esq. Vowell, Meelheim & Vann, P.C. 420 N. Twentieth St., Suite 1900 Birmingham, AL 35203-3200

R. V. Durham c/o Hugh J. Beins, Esquire Beins, Axelrod, Osborne & Mooney 2033 K Street, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006-1002

Walter Shea c/o Robert Baptiste, Esquire Baptiste & Wilder 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 505 Washington, DC 20006

Donald H. Williams, Regional Coordinator (For Information Only)